Case 1:23-cv-06981-JLR Document 73 Filed 07/28/25 Page 1 of 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

WILLIAM APPLEGATE,

Plaintiff,

ORDER 23-CV-6981 (JLR)

-against-

THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL,

Defendant.

JENNIFER E. WILLIS, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE:

This matter has been referred for settlement. Dkt. No. 69.

Should the Parties wish to schedule a settlement conference, the Parties are directed to contact Courtroom Deputy Christopher Davis via email by August 18, 2025 at WillisNYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov to provide three mutually agreeable dates in September or October. Any conference will be held in person at Courtroom 228, 40 Foley Square, New York, New York. Should the Parties not wish to schedule a settlement conference at this time, they should inform the Court via email by the same deadline.

Parties must attend in person with their counsel. The Parties should be prepared for the settlement conference to last all day. Corporate Parties must send the person with decision-making authority to settle the matter to the conference. At least a week prior to the conference, the Court will hold a pre-conference call with the attorneys.

The Parties are required to prepare pre-conference submissions in accordance with Judge Willis's <u>Standing Order for All Cases Referred for Settlement</u>. These letters must be submitted seven days prior to the date of the pre-conference call. <u>See</u> § 3 of the Standing Order, ("<u>no later than seven days before the pre-conference telephone call</u>, counsel for each Party must send the Court by

Document 73 Case 1:23-cv-06981-JLR Filed 07/28/25

email a letter, marked "Confidential Material for Use Only at Settlement

Conference," which should not be sent to the other parties. The letter should be sent

to WillisNYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov. This ex parte letter must not exceed

three pages.... The letter should include, at a minimum, the following: (a) the history

of settlement negotiations, if any, including any prior offers or demands; (b) your

evaluation of the settlement value of the case and the rationale for it; (c) any case law

authority in support of your settlement position; and (d) any other facts that would

be helpful to the Court in preparation for the conference.")

The Parties are also required to jointly fill out the attached form and

submit it via email at least three days prior to the pre-conference phone call.

Finally, should either Party wish to discuss a possible declaration of

bankruptcy, a limited ability to pay an award, or lack of access to cash to fund a

settlement, the Parties are strongly encouraged to discuss such issues with opposing

counsel prior to the settlement conference and to provide documents in their

confidential *ex parte* letters that speak to their financial condition.

SO ORDERED.

DATED:

New York, New York

July 28, 2025

United States Magistrate Judge

2

## SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE FORM

This form should be completed jointly by the Parties and emailed to <u>WillisNYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov</u> no later than three days before the pre-conference telephone call. The answers to this form will be kept confidential and fall within Rule 408 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

Please cite to the relevant docket entry where appropriate.

1.	Has a deadline for fact discovery been set in this case? Yes No
	If yes, the discovery deadline is/was
	If so, is discovery closed?
	Yes No If yes, the discovery deadline is/was
	Does either Party intend to seek to reopen discovery?
	Yes No
2.	Do the Parties expect any expert discovery?
	Yes No
	Is there a deadline for expert discovery?
	Yes No
	If yes, the expert discovery deadline is/was
3.	Is either Party waiting to receive records (medical records, payroll records, expert reports, etc.) important to its case?
	Yes No
	If yes, what are those records?
	Is the Party still prepared to settle even without receipt of those documents?
4.	Has a Motion to Dismiss or Motion on the Pleadings Been Filed?
	Yes No

9. Are there any financial constraints affecting the settlement discussions the Court should be aware of?